

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,303 10/30/2001		Osamu Sakai	10059-400US (P23013-01)	4864	
570	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
	IP STRAUSS HAUEF IERCE SOUARE	ALEJANDRO,	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND		
	ET STREET, SUITE 22	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	PHIA, PA 19103-7013		1745		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati	n N	Applicant(s)				
Coffice Action Summary		1							
		10/018,30	3						
	omeo / tetter cummary		Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	vication ann	Raymond		1745	Idross			
Period f		ncauon app	ears on the	cover sir et with the c	rrespondence at	Juless			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum sere to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period w y will, by statute,	36(a). In no eve within the statu ill apply and will cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 No</u>	ovember 20	<u>003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)⊠	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disp siti	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers		. 0.00			·			
· <u> </u>	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 10 November Applicant may not request that any objective Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	e <u>r 2003</u> is/a ection to the o	re: a)⊠ ac drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•							
a)(13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the specific reference was included a specific reference was included a total of the foreign late of the certified copies application from the foreign late of the specific reference was included in the first server of the certified copies application from the foreign late of the certified copies application from the foreign late of the certified copies application of the foreign late of the certified copies application from the foreign late of the certified copies of the priority application from the certified copies application from the certified copie	documents documents of the prior onal Bureau on for a list for domesti ed in the firs nguage pro for domesti	s have been shave been ity docume u (PCT Rule of the certific priority urst sentence evisional apoc priority urst.	n received. In received in Application received in Application to the specification or plication has been received and the specification or the specification for the specification for the specification or specification has been received and the specification for the specification for the specification for specification has been received and the specification for the speci	on No ed in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	al application) a Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachmen									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) f	•	·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,303

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is submitted in response to the amendment dated 11/10/03. The applicants have overcome the objections and the art rejections. However, this application is finally rejected for the reasons that follows:

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-4) in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "this holding of lack of unity is no longer applicable, since claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the structural feature which was found to be allowable". This is not found persuasive because under 35 USC 121 and 372, the lack of unity (restriction) is proper if the inventions or groups of inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Accordingly, (and as admitted by the applicants) even though claim 1 now has been amended to incorporate the structural feature of claim 2 which was found to be allowable, claim 5 directed to the method of using the polymer electrolyte fuel cell does not recite/share the special technical features which made the foregoing claim allowable. Hence, the inventions do not constitute a single general inventive concept per se. Thus, the lack of unity is found to be proper under the above-mentioned US code and PCT rules.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,303

Art Unit: 1745

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: it was set forth in a prior office action, refer to paper # 7.

3. Claims 1-4 and 6 are allowed.

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

for the presence of claim 5 (Group II) to a non-elected invention with traverse.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte

Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP